

**Proposed Kaipara District Plan:
Form 6 - Further Submission**

Clause 7 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

This is a further submission that is either in support of or in opposition to any submission already received by Kaipara District Council on any Proposed Kaipara District Plan topic **except for Light** in the Proposed Kaipara District Plan. No new submission points can be made.

All submissions and Summary of Submissions can be viewed on our website here:
www.kaipara.govt.nz/kaipara-district-plan-review/proposed-district-plan-submissions

Note: *The topic of Light was notified for further submissions on 21 October 2025 prior to all other topics due to the hearing for Light being confirmed for 17 December 2025.*

Note: You can only make a further submissions on the submission points identified in an original submission on the Proposed Kaipara District Plan.

1. Further submitter details *(mandatory information)*

Full name of individual/organisation making further submission:

Contact person *(if different from above):*

Email address:

Postal address:

Postcode:

Preferred method of contact:

Email

Post

Contact phone number:

Do you have an agent who is acting on your behalf?

Yes

No

If you would like a copy of your submission sent to your agent, enter their email address below *(otherwise leave blank)*

Agent email address:

2. Eligibility to make a further submission *(for information on this section go to RMA Schedule 1, clause 8)*

I am (select one of the following options):

A person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest.

In this case, also specify below the grounds for saying that you come within this category.

A person who has interest in the proposal greater than the interest that the general public has.

In this case, also specify below the grounds for saying that you come within this category: or

The local authority

3. My reasons for selecting the category ticked above are:

(For example: Any person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest would likely include public interest environmental groups

OR

Any person that has an interest in the proposed policy statement or plan greater than the interest that the general public has is likely to include owners of land and users of resources directly affected by plan provisions. It is also likely to include iwi and hapu where their interests are directly affected.)

4. Request to be heard at hearings

Yes, I wish to be heard at the hearing in support of my further submission; or

No, I do not wish to be heard at the hearing in support of my further submission.

If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.

Yes

No

Signature of further submitter:

(or person authorised to sign on behalf of person making further submission)

(A signature is not required if you are making your further submission by electronic means)

Date

Important information:

1. This Form 6 is for further submissions on every topic with the Proposed Kaipara District Plan (apart from Light).
2. You must serve a copy of your further submission on the original submitter **within five working days after it is served** on Kaipara District Council.
3. The Kaipara District Council must receive this further submission before the closing date and time for further submissions (**5.00pm on Monday 15 December 2025**).
4. All information provided in your further submission is considered public under the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 and will be published to progress the process for the Proposed Kaipara District Plan and will be made publicly available. Your further submission will only be used for the purpose of the Proposed Kaipara District Plan.
5. Submitters who indicate they wish to speak at the Hearing will be emailed all relevant information relating to the Hearing. If you don't have an email address, it will be posted.

Note to person making submission:

Your further submission (or part of your further submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least one of the following applies to the further submission (or part of the further submission):

- It is frivolous or vexatious;
- It discloses no reasonable or relevant case;
- It would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the further submission (or the part) to be taken further; and/or
- It contains offensive language.

Send your further submission:

Post it to: District Planning Team
Kaipara District Council
Private Bag 1001
Dargaville 0340

OR

Email to: districtplanreview@kaipara.govt.nz

OR you can hand-deliver this further submission form along with any attachments to: any Kaipara District Council service centre (Dargaville at 32 Hokianga Road or Mangawhai at 6 Molesworth Drive). Please be aware that our service centre doors close at **4.00pm**.

Please refer to District Plan Review on our website www.kaipara.govt.nz where all information and updates are located.

If you need any assistance at all, please contact the District Planning Team on 0800 727 059 or email us at districtplanreview@kaipara.govt.nz.

Further Submissions must be received
by: **5pm – Monday 15 December 2025**

5. Further Submission/s on all remaining topics (excluding the LIGHT topic) on the Proposed Kaipara District Plan:

Name of original submitter	Original submitter number	Original submission point number	Support or oppose	Reasons for supporting or opposing	I seek that the whole (or part [describe part]) of the submission be allowed (or disallowed) Give precise details
<i>Example John Smith</i>	<i>Example 600</i>	<i>Example 600.001</i>	<i>Example Support</i>	<i>Example I support because I believe.....</i>	<i>Example I seek that the whole of the submission point be allowed</i>

YOU ARE WELCOME TO PROVIDE THE REQUIRED INFORMATION ABOVE ON A SEPARATE PAGE IF YOU REQUIRE MORE SPACE



**Proposed Kaipara District Plan
Submitter Contact List
for all other topics (excluding the LIGHT topic)**

You must serve a copy of your further submission on the original submitter **within five (5) working days after it is served** on Kaipara District Council.

A list of original submitters contact details are available from www.kaipara.govt.nz/district-plan-review (go to submissions)

Or download from the link below

[Download the Original Submitter Contact List](#)

Northpower – Further Submission on Kaipara PDP



Full Name: Northpower Attn: Caroline Sharp, & Barker and Associates Attn: David Badham

Mobile: 021 436 482

Address for Service: Email is the preferred contact method – Caroline Sharp, Northpower, Caroline.SHARP@northpower.com & David Badham, Barker and Associates – davidb@barker.co.nz

Date: 15 December 2025

Re: Further Submission on Proposed Kaipara District Plan – Northpower Limited

Further Submissions Information:

These are further submissions on the Kaipara District Council's (KDC) Proposed Kaipara District Plan (PDP).

Northpower Limited (**Northpower**) has an interest greater than the interest of the general public as the submission points identified within this further submission specifically affect Northpower interests in the Kaipara District.

Northpower also made an original submission on the PDP (S283).

Northpower could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this further submission.

The specific original submission points of the PDP that Northpower's further submission relates to are attached.

Northpower supports or opposes the specific submission points as listed in the attached document. The reasons are provided in the attached document.

The decisions that Northpower wishes KDC to make with respect to each submission point are also detailed in the attached document.

Northpower wishes to be heard in support of these further submissions.

Michael Gibbs

Chief Operating Officer – Network

Northpower Limited

Barker & Associates

+64 375 0900 | admin@barker.co.nz | barker.co.nz

Kerikeri | Whangārei | Warkworth | Auckland | Hamilton | Cambridge | Tauranga | Havelock North | Wellington | Christchurch | Wānaka & Queenstown

Northpower – Further Submission on Kaipara PDP



Northpower Specific Further Submission Points on the PDP

Sub point #	Submitter Name	Plan Section & Provision	Support/Oppose	Reasons	Relief Sought	
General						
FS82.1	136.2	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Location of earthworks provisions	Support	Northpower agree that all provisions for managing earthworks, land disturbance and cultivation should be located in the Earthworks Chapter as this will improve clarity for plan users.	Accept
FS82.2	292.2	Transpower New Zealand Limited	General	Support	Northpower agree that the PDP should be amended to give effect to the National Policy Statement on Electricity Transmission and the National Environmental Standards on Electricity Transmission Activities, or any replacement national direction.	Accept
Definitions						
FS82.3	26.3	Chorus NZ and other Telcos	Definitions – Temporary Infrastructure	Support	Northpower supports the amendment of this definition.	Accept
FS82.4	136.6	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Nested Definitions – Structures (new)	Support	Northpower supports this submission to provide a nested definition which shows the relationship between infrastructure and structures to improve clarity and consistency.	Accept
FS82.5	140.4	Horticulture New Zealand	Definitions – Reverse Sensitivity (new)	Support	Northpower also supports the inclusion of a new definition for ‘Reverse Sensitivity’ within the PDP which aligns with the definition in the RPS.	Accept
FS82.6	147.3	New Zealand Helicopter Association	Definitions – Construction Works (new)	Support	Northpower supports the inclusion of a new definition for ‘Construction Works’ as this clearly specifies construction works are works associated	Accept

Barker & Associates

+64 375 0900 | admin@barker.co.nz | barker.co.nz

Kerikeri | Whangārei | Warkworth | Auckland | Hamilton | Cambridge | Tauranga | Havelock North | Wellington | Christchurch | Wānaka & Queenstown

Northpower – Further Submission on Kaipara PDP



Sub point #	Submitter Name	Plan Section & Provision	Support/Oppose	Reasons	Relief Sought
				with any electricity or telecommunications reticulation.	
FS82.7	292.18 Transpower New Zealand Limited	Definitions – Network Utility (new)	Support	Northpower supports the inclusion of a new definition for ‘network utility’ within the PDP.	Accept
FS82.8	292.19 Transpower New Zealand Limited	Definitions – Reverse Sensitivity (new)	Support	Northpower supports the inclusion of a new definition for ‘Reverse Sensitivity’ within the PDP.	Accept
FS82.9	292.20 Transpower New Zealand Limited	Definitions – Upgrading (new)	Support in part	Northpower supports the inclusion of a new definition for ‘Upgrading’ within the PDP, but prefers the wording within their original submission (submission point 283.9).	Accept in part
FS82.10	309.7 Clarus	Definitions – Nesting table for Infrastructure (new)	Support	Northpower agree that a nesting group for ‘Infrastructure’ would improve clarity in the PDP.	Accept
FS82.11	309.8 Clarus	Definitions – Utility Connections (new)	Support in part	Clarus has not provided wording for its proposed definitions. Notwithstanding Northpower’s original submission seeking the replacement of ‘utility connections’ with ‘infrastructure’ across a number of provisions, Northpower supports the inclusion of a definition of utility connections, if it is appropriately worded.	Accept in part
FS82.12	304.10 Director General of Conservation	Definitions – Community Scale Renewable Electricity Generation Activities	Oppose	Northpower disagree that the definition requires a quantitative KW or MW threshold. Such thresholds are arbitrary and not associated with the environmental effects of community scale renewable electricity generation activities.	Reject

Strategic Direction

Barker & Associates

+64 375 0900 | admin@barker.co.nz | barker.co.nz

Kerikeri | Whangārei | Warkworth | Auckland | Hamilton | Cambridge | Tauranga | Havelock North | Wellington | Christchurch | Wānaka & Queenstown

Northpower – Further Submission on Kaipara PDP



	Sub point #	Submitter Name	Plan Section & Provision	Support/Oppose	Reasons	Relief Sought
FS82.13	292.22	Transpower New Zealand Limited	Strategic Direction – Natural Hazards and Resilience – SD-NH-01	Support	Northpower agree that the ‘development’ of infrastructure assets should also be enabled within this objective.	Accept
FS82.14	292.23	Transpower New Zealand Limited	Strategic Direction – SD-IE-01 (new)	Support	Northpower agree that a new strategic direction objective should be provided to recognise the benefits of regionally significant infrastructure as this will give effect to the National Policy Statement for Renewable Electricity Generation.	Accept
FS82.15	292.24	Transpower New Zealand Limited	Strategic Direction (new)	Support	Northpower agree that a new strategic direction should be provided to ensure that regionally significant infrastructure is protected from incompatible development and activities that may compromise its operation as this will give effect to the National Policy Statement for Renewable Electricity Generation.	Accept
FS82.16	330.9	New Zealand Transport Agency	Strategic Direction – SD-VK-02	Support	Northpower agree that this objective should also consider the impacts of development on infrastructure networks because this aligns with direction to avoid the potential for reverse sensitivity from subdivision, use and development in Policy 5.1.1(e) of the RPS.	Accept
FS82.17	330.10	New Zealand Transport Agency	Strategic Direction – SD-VK-04	Support	Northpower agree that this objective should also include wording around impacts of rural lifestyle development on infrastructure because this aligns with direction to avoid the potential for reverse sensitivity from subdivision, use and development in Policy 5.1.1(e) of the RPS.	Accept
FS82.18	330.16	New Zealand Transport Agency	Strategic Direction – SD-UFD-P1	Support	Northpower agree with the amendment sought to this policy to also consider the adequacy of the	Accept

Barker & Associates

+64 375 0900 | admin@barker.co.nz | barker.co.nz

Kerikeri | Whangārei | Warkworth | Auckland | Hamilton | Cambridge | Tauranga | Havelock North | Wellington | Christchurch | Wānaka & Queenstown

Northpower – Further Submission on Kaipara PDP



Sub point #	Submitter Name	Plan Section & Provision	Support/Oppose	Reasons	Relief Sought
				infrastructure networks to accommodate such development because they often require connections to existing electricity and telecommunications systems. Assessing network capacity upfront ensures that developments are feasible, cost-effective and do not compromise service reliability for existing users. Without this consideration, there is a risk of overloading networks, causing delays, increased costs or requiring unplanned upgrades.	
FS82.19	301.26 Channel Terminal Services Limited	Strategic Direction – New ‘Reverse Sensitivity’ Chapter	Support	Northpower agree that a standalone Reverse Sensitivity chapter would improve visibility for plan users.	Accept
Renewable Electricity Generation					
FS82.20	145.1 Electrify Taitokerau Te	Renewable Electricity Generation – REG-P1	Support	Northpower are supportive of the addition of ‘benefits of renewable electricity generation activities’ to this policy as this aligns with the policy direction in Policy A within the NPS-REG.	Accept
FS82.21	145.2 Electrify Taitokerau Te	Renewable Electricity Generation – REG-P2	Support	Northpower are supportive of the addition of biomass, tidal, wave and ocean energy resources to this policy as this aligns with the policy direction in Policy E1 within the NPS-REG.	Accept
FS82.22	145.3 Electrify Taitokerau Te	Renewable Electricity Generation – REG-P3	Support	Northpower are supportive of the addition of biomass, tidal, wave and ocean energy resources to this policy as this aligns with the policy direction in Policy E1 within the NPS-REG.	Accept
FS82.23	326.9 Mercury NZ Limited	Renewable Electricity Generation – REG-P6	Support	Northpower agree that all renewable electricity generation, regardless of scale, contribute to the	Accept

Barker & Associates

+64 375 0900 | admin@barker.co.nz | barker.co.nz

Kerikeri | Whangārei | Warkworth | Auckland | Hamilton | Cambridge | Tauranga | Havelock North | Wellington | Christchurch | Wānaka & Queenstown

Northpower – Further Submission on Kaipara PDP



Sub point #	Submitter Name	Plan Section & Provision	Support/Oppose	Reasons	Relief Sought	
				achieving the National Policy Statement for Renewable Electricity Generation objectives.		
FS82.24	304.43	Director General of Conservation	Renewable Electricity Generation – REG-P6	Oppose	Northpower disagree that the term ‘considering’ large scale renewable electricity generation activities is suitable. Their preference is to retain the word ‘enabling’ as per Northpower’s original submission, as it provides clear, directive language that actively supports and facilitates these activities.	Reject
FS82.25	292.27	Transpower New Zealand Limited	Renewable Electricity Generation – REG-P9	Support	Northpower agree that the purpose of this policy is to manage reverse sensitivity. Notwithstanding Northpower’s original submission (283.49) seeking to replace the word ‘Managing/Manage’ with ‘Avoiding/Avoid to give effect to the RPS and National Policy Statement for Renewable Electricity Generation, Northpower agree that this policy should not require new renewable electricity generation activities to manage <u>all</u> adverse effects on existing sensitive activities.	Accept
FS82.26	149.19	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated	Renewable Electricity Generation – REG-P10	Oppose	Northpower do not consider that sites containing Outstanding Natural Features, Outstanding Natural Landscapes or Significant Indigenous Vegetation, or that sites located within the Coastal Environment (subject to appropriate assessments being undertaken) are inappropriate for renewable electricity generation activities. Renewable energy activities must be located where the resource is best available, and implying that such activities are inappropriate in sensitive environments is inconsistent with higher order national direction	Reject

Barker & Associates

+64 375 0900 | admin@barker.co.nz | barker.co.nz

Kerikeri | Whangārei | Warkworth | Auckland | Hamilton | Cambridge | Tauranga | Havelock North | Wellington | Christchurch | Wānaka & Queenstown

Northpower – Further Submission on Kaipara PDP



Sub point #	Submitter Name	Plan Section & Provision	Support/Oppose	Reasons	Relief Sought	
				(e.g., National Policy Statement for Renewable Energy Generation (NPS-REG)) and regional direction (Northland Regional Policy Statement (RPS)).		
FS82.27	149.23	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated	Renewable Electricity Generation – REG-R1	Oppose	Northpower oppose the inclusion of additional standards relating to the management of effects on indigenous fauna to this rule, particularly given that this activity is temporary and wind masts do not typically contain turbine blades.	Reject
FS82.28	149.21	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated	Renewable Electricity Generation – REG-R2	Oppose	Northpower consider that this rule already only applies to ‘existing’ renewable electricity generation activities and that no changes should be made to further restrict it to permitted and lawfully established renewable electricity generation activities. This would just add confusion to the application of the rule. Further, the operation, maintenance and repair of ‘existing’ renewable electricity generation activities should not be subject to any arbitrary conditions.	Reject
FS82.29	149.24	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated	Renewable Electricity Generation – REG-R3	Oppose	Northpower consider that the notified permitted activity standards are sufficient and do not consider that additional permitted activity standards are required to appropriately manage the effects of roof-mounted wind turbines. Such activities need to be actively encouraged and enabled, and such provisions will do the opposite of that.	Reject
FS82.30	149.25	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated	Renewable Electricity Generation – REG-R4	Oppose	Northpower consider that the notified permitted activity standards are sufficient and do not consider that additional permitted activity standards are required to appropriately manage the effects of	

Barker & Associates

+64 375 0900 | admin@barker.co.nz | barker.co.nz

Kerikeri | Whangārei | Warkworth | Auckland | Hamilton | Cambridge | Tauranga | Havelock North | Wellington | Christchurch | Wānaka & Queenstown

Northpower – Further Submission on Kaipara PDP



FS82.31

FS82.32

Sub point #	Submitter Name	Plan Section & Provision	Support/Oppose	Reasons	Relief Sought
	Zealand Incorporated			roof-mounted solar generation. Such activities need to be actively encouraged and enabled, and such provisions will do the opposite of that.	
308.14	Fire and Emergency New Zealand	Renewable Electricity Generation – REG-R7	Oppose	Northpower do not consider that ‘proposed measures to mitigate fire risk’ should be a matter over which control or discretion is reserved/restricted for community-scale renewable electricity generation activities. Fire risk management for these activities is already addressed through comprehensive national standards and industry regulations, ensuring effective and consistent safety measures. Introducing additional matters of control or discretion at the district level would create unnecessary duplication, potential conflicts, and uncertainty, while adding cost and delay. Given the inherently low fire risk of renewable electricity generation when designed and maintained to existing codes, reserving control or discretion is neither proportionate nor practical.	Reject
308.15	Fire and Emergency New Zealand	Renewable Electricity Generation – REG-R8	Oppose	Northpower do not consider that ‘the extent to which the activity is able to avoid or mitigate fire risk and the actual and potential effects on assets, property and the environment’ should be assessment criteria for large-scale renewable electricity generation activities. Fire risk management for these activities is already addressed through comprehensive national standards and industry regulations, ensuring effective and consistent safety measures.	Reject

Barker & Associates

+64 375 0900 | admin@barker.co.nz | barker.co.nz

Kerikeri | Whangārei | Warkworth | Auckland | Hamilton | Cambridge | Tauranga | Havelock North | Wellington | Christchurch | Wānaka & Queenstown

Northpower – Further Submission on Kaipara PDP



Sub point #	Submitter Name	Plan Section & Provision	Support/Oppose	Reasons	Relief Sought	
				Introducing additional matters of control or discretion at the district level would create unnecessary duplication, potential conflicts, and uncertainty, while adding cost and delay. Given the inherently low fire risk of renewable electricity generation when designed and maintained to existing codes, reserving control or discretion is neither proportionate nor practical.		
FS82.33	304.51	Director General of Conservation	Renewable Electricity Generation – REG-R8	Oppose	Northpower do not consider that large scale renewable electricity generation activities should be limited to being located within the General Rural Zone or outside of any overlay. Renewable energy activities must be located where the resource is best available, and implying that such activities are only appropriate in the General Rural Zone or outside of any overlay is nonsensical and inconsistent with higher order national direction (e.g., NPS-REG) and regional direction (RPS).	Reject
FS82.34	304.50	Director General of Conservation	Renewable Electricity Generation – REG-R9	Oppose	Northpower do not consider that upgrade or repowering of existing renewable electricity generation activities should only be permitted within the General rural zone or outside of any overlay. It is appropriate to enable and encourage the use of existing renewable electricity assets in all zones and overlays where they have already been established,	Reject
FS82.35	308.16	Fire and Emergency New Zealand	Renewable Electricity Generation – REG-R9	Oppose	Northpower do not consider that ‘proposed measures to mitigate fire risk’ should be a matter over discretion is restricted for upgrading or repowering existing renewable electricity	Reject

Barker & Associates

+64 375 0900 | admin@barker.co.nz | barker.co.nz

Kerikeri | Whangārei | Warkworth | Auckland | Hamilton | Cambridge | Tauranga | Havelock North | Wellington | Christchurch | Wānaka & Queenstown

Northpower – Further Submission on Kaipara PDP



Sub point #	Submitter Name	Plan Section & Provision	Support/Oppose	Reasons	Relief Sought
				generation activities. Fire risk management for these activities is already addressed through comprehensive national standards and industry regulations, ensuring effective and consistent safety measures. Introducing additional matters of control or discretion at the district level would create unnecessary duplication, potential conflicts, and uncertainty, while adding cost and delay. Given the inherently low fire risk of renewable electricity generation when designed and maintained to existing codes, reserving control or discretion is neither proportionate nor practical.	
FS82.36 308.17	Fire and Emergency New Zealand	Renewable Electricity Generation – REG-R10	Oppose	Northpower do not consider that ‘the extent to which the activity is able to avoid or mitigate fire risk and the actual and potential effects on assets, property and the environment’ should be assessment criteria for renewable electricity generation activities not otherwise provided for. Fire risk management for these activities is already addressed through comprehensive national standards and industry regulations, ensuring effective and consistent safety measures. Introducing additional matters of control or discretion at the district level would create unnecessary duplication, potential conflicts, and uncertainty, while adding cost and delay. Given the inherently low fire risk of renewable electricity generation when designed and maintained to existing codes, reserving control or discretion is neither proportionate nor practical.	Reject

Barker & Associates

+64 375 0900 | admin@barker.co.nz | barker.co.nz

Kerikeri | Whangārei | Warkworth | Auckland | Hamilton | Cambridge | Tauranga | Havelock North | Wellington | Christchurch | Wānaka & Queenstown

Northpower – Further Submission on Kaipara PDP



FS82.37

Sub point #	Submitter Name	Plan Section & Provision	Support/Oppose	Reasons	Relief Sought
304.52	Director General of Conservation	Renewable Electricity Generation – REG-R10	Oppose	Northpower do not consider that a non-complying activity status should apply to any other renewable electricity generation activity not provided for. This is too restrictive and does not give effect to the NPS-REG which seeks to provide for the development, operation, maintenance and upgrading of new renewable electricity generation activities.	Reject
149.22	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated	Renewable Electricity Generation – New Rule	Oppose	As discussed above, Northpower do not consider that the operation, maintenance and repair of existing renewable electricity generation activities should be subject to arbitrary permitted activity conditions and then either require a restricted discretionary or discretionary activity resource consent for non-compliance. Such activities need to be actively encouraged and enabled, and such provisions will do the opposite of that.	Reject
149.20	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated	Renewable Electricity Generation - Rules	Oppose	Northpower do not consider that sites containing Outstanding Natural Features, Outstanding Natural Landscapes or significant indigenous vegetation, or that sites located within the Coastal Environment (subject to appropriate assessments being undertaken) are inappropriate for renewable electricity generation activities. Renewable energy activities must be located where the resource is best available, and implying that such activities are inappropriate in sensitive environments is inconsistent with higher order national direction (e.g., NPS-REG) and regional direction (RPS).	Reject

FS82.39

Barker & Associates

+64 375 0900 | admin@barker.co.nz | barker.co.nz

Kerikeri | Whangārei | Warkworth | Auckland | Hamilton | Cambridge | Tauranga | Havelock North | Wellington | Christchurch | Wānaka & Queenstown

Northpower – Further Submission on Kaipara PDP

	Sub point #	Submitter Name	Plan Section & Provision	Support/Oppose	Reasons	Relief Sought
FS82.40	145.4	Electrify Te Taitokerau	Renewable Electricity Generation – Matters of Discretion	Support	Northpower support the removal of matters of discretion relating to ‘visual and landscape effects’ from all Renewable Electricity Generation rules with matters over which discretion is restricted.	Accept
Infrastructure						
FS82.41	292.29	Transpower New Zealand Limited	Infrastructure – INF-O1	Support	Northpower agree with this proposed amendment which addresses when infrastructure may not always be able to integrate with subdivision, use and development.	Accept
FS82.42	292.30	Transpower New Zealand Limited	Infrastructure – INF-O2	Support	Northpower agree with the amendment made to recognise the benefits of infrastructure.	Accept
FS82.43	301.8	Channel Terminal Services Limited	Infrastructure – INF-O4	Support	Northpower agree that this objective should be amended to include recognition and provision for infrastructure beyond the National Grid.	Accept
FS82.44	292.37	Transpower New Zealand Limited	Infrastructure – INF-P5	Support	Northpower agree with the deletion of the word “demonstrated” as it creates uncertainty regarding the information that would need to be provided to a decision maker.	Accept
FS82.45	292.38	Transpower New Zealand Limited	Infrastructure – INF-P6	Support	Northpower agree the requirement in subclause 1 to consider needs should not be conjunctive and that the ‘necessity’ of the infrastructure is already addressed within clause 1.	Accept
FS82.46	292.40	Transpower New Zealand Limited	Infrastructure – INF-P8	Support	Northpower agree that this amendment would reduce complexities if the current standards were to change.	Accept
FS82.47	149.30	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New	Infrastructure – INF-P18	Oppose	Northpower do not consider that the maintenance and upgrading of existing infrastructure should be required to progressively restore natural character	Reject

Barker & Associates

+64 375 0900 | admin@barker.co.nz | barker.co.nz

Kerikeri | Whangārei | Warkworth | Auckland | Hamilton | Cambridge | Tauranga | Havelock North | Wellington | Christchurch | Wānaka & Queenstown

Northpower – Further Submission on Kaipara PDP



Sub point #	Submitter Name	Plan Section & Provision	Support/Oppose	Reasons	Relief Sought
	Zealand Incorporated			and indigenous biodiversity. This is an impractical requirement that will impose unnecessary costs and delays on the maintenance and upgrading of infrastructure within the district.	
FS82.48	26.25 Chorus NZ and other Telcos	Infrastructure – New Policy – Natural Hazards	Support	Northpower support an additional policy which recognises that network utilities are appropriate in natural hazards areas when specific requirements are met.	Accept
FS82.49	309.37 Clarus	Infrastructure – INF-R1	Support	Northpower supports a ‘restricted discretionary’ activity status for non-compliance with this rule.	Accept
FS82.50	309.40 Clarus	Infrastructure – INF-R14	Support	Northpower supports a ‘restricted discretionary’ activity status under INF-R14.2.	Accept
FS82.51	26.43 Chorus NZ and other Telcos	Infrastructure – INF-R26	Support in part	<p>Northpower sought amendments to this rule in collaboration with other Network Utility operators and infrastructure providers to develop a workable rule that removes arbitrary and unnecessary restrictions on the provision of infrastructure.</p> <p>Northpower do not have any particular concern with amendments to increase the panel antenna width in clause b and deleting clause d, but consider that the drafting of this rule would benefit from a joint approach prior to hearings between KDC and other infrastructure providers such as Northpower, Chorus NZ and other Telcos.</p>	Accept in part
FS82.52	26.44 Chorus NZ and other Telcos	Infrastructure – INF-R27	Support in part	Northpower sought amendments to this rule in collaboration with other Network Utility operators and infrastructure providers to develop a workable	Accept in part

Barker & Associates

+64 375 0900 | admin@barker.co.nz | barker.co.nz

Kerikeri | Whangārei | Warkworth | Auckland | Hamilton | Cambridge | Tauranga | Havelock North | Wellington | Christchurch | Wānaka & Queenstown

Northpower – Further Submission on Kaipara PDP



Sub point #	Submitter Name	Plan Section & Provision	Support/Oppose	Reasons	Relief Sought
				<p>rule that removes arbitrary and unnecessary restrictions on the provision of infrastructure.</p> <p>Northpower do not have any particular concern with amendments to delete the words “on an existing pole” and increasing the panel and dish sizes to 3m² in all zones and 2m diameter for dish antennas in all zones except for residential zones. Northpower consider that the drafting of this rule would benefit from a joint approach prior to hearings between KDC and other infrastructure providers such as Northpower, Chorus NZ and other Telcos.</p>	
FS82.53	26.45 Chorus NZ and other Telcos	Infrastructure – INF-R28	Support in part	<p>Northpower sought amendments to the activity status for non-compliance with INF-S1 and INF-S2 from non-complying to discretionary.</p> <p>Northpower do not have any particular concern with the deletion of clause 1(a) from this rule.</p>	Accept in part
FS82.54	26.46 Chorus NZ and other Telcos	Infrastructure – INF-R29	Support in part	<p>Northpower sought amendments to this rule in collaboration with other Network Utility operators and infrastructure providers to develop a workable rule that removes arbitrary and unnecessary restrictions on the provision of infrastructure. Northpower also sought amendments to the activity status for non-compliance with INF-S1 and INF-S2 from non-complying to discretionary.</p> <p>Northpower do not have any particular concerns with the amendment to clause 1(a) of the rule.</p>	Accept in part

Barker & Associates

+64 375 0900 | admin@barker.co.nz | barker.co.nz

Kerikeri | Whangārei | Warkworth | Auckland | Hamilton | Cambridge | Tauranga | Havelock North | Wellington | Christchurch | Wānaka & Queenstown

Northpower – Further Submission on Kaipara PDP



Sub point #	Submitter Name	Plan Section & Provision	Support/Oppose	Reasons	Relief Sought
				Northpower consider that the drafting of this rule would benefit from a joint approach prior to hearings between KDC and other infrastructure providers such as Northpower, Chorus NZ and other Telcos.	
FS82.55	36.47 Chorus NZ and other Telcos	Infrastructure – INF-R30	Support in part	<p>Northpower sought amendments to this rule in collaboration with other Network Utility operators and infrastructure providers to develop a workable rule that removes arbitrary and unnecessary restrictions on the provision of infrastructure. Northpower also sought amendments to the activity status for non-compliance with INF-S1 and INF-S2 from non-complying to discretionary.</p> <p>Northpower do not have any particular concerns with the amendments proposed to this rule. Northpower consider that the drafting of this rule would benefit from a joint approach prior to hearings between KDC and other infrastructure providers such as Northpower, Chorus NZ and other Telcos.</p>	Accept in part
FS82.56	26.48 Chorus NZ and other Telcos	Infrastructure – INF-R31	Support in part	<p>Northpower sought amendments to this rule in collaboration with other Network Utility operators and infrastructure providers to develop a workable rule that removes arbitrary and unnecessary restrictions on the provision of infrastructure. Northpower also sought amendments to the activity status for non-compliance with INF-S1 and INF-S2 from non-complying to discretionary.</p>	Accept in part

Barker & Associates

+64 375 0900 | admin@barker.co.nz | barker.co.nz

Kerikeri | Whangārei | Warkworth | Auckland | Hamilton | Cambridge | Tauranga | Havelock North | Wellington | Christchurch | Wānaka & Queenstown

Northpower – Further Submission on Kaipara PDP



Sub point #	Submitter Name	Plan Section & Provision	Support/Oppose	Reasons	Relief Sought	
				Northpower do not have any particular concerns with the amendments proposed to this rule. Northpower consider that the drafting of this rule would benefit from a joint approach prior to hearings between KDC and other infrastructure providers such as Northpower, Chorus NZ and other Telcos.		
FS82.57	26.49	Chorus NZ and other Telcos	Infrastructure – INF-R33	Support in part	<p>Northpower sought amendments to this rule in collaboration with other Network Utility operators and infrastructure providers to develop a workable rule that removes arbitrary and unnecessary restrictions on the provision of infrastructure. Northpower also sought amendments to the activity status for non-compliance with INF-S1 and INF-S2 from non-complying to discretionary.</p> <p>Northpower do not have any particular concerns with the amendments proposed to this rule. Northpower consider that the drafting of this rule would benefit from a joint approach prior to hearings between KDC and other infrastructure providers such as Northpower, Chorus NZ and other Telcos.</p>	Accept in part
FS82.58	26.50	Chorus NZ and other Telcos	Infrastructure – INF-R34	Support	<p>Northpower support the deletion of this rule as it is agreed that this rule overlaps with Rule INF-R37.</p>	Accept
FS82.59	26.51	Chorus NZ and other Telcos	Infrastructure – INF-R37	Support	<p>Northpower’s original submission sought the retention of this rule as notified. However, Northpower do not have any particular concerns with the addition of the new controlled activity standard as this aligns with the proposed</p>	Accept

Barker & Associates

+64 375 0900 | admin@barker.co.nz | barker.co.nz

Kerikeri | Whangārei | Warkworth | Auckland | Hamilton | Cambridge | Tauranga | Havelock North | Wellington | Christchurch | Wānaka & Queenstown

Northpower – Further Submission on Kaipara PDP



Sub point #	Submitter Name	Plan Section & Provision	Support/Oppose	Reasons	Relief Sought	
				amendments to the NESTF released for public consultation on 29 May 2025.		
FS82.60	310.13	Fonterra Limited	Infrastructure – New Rule	Support in part	Northpower seek a further amendment to this proposed new rule to exclude electricity infrastructure from the rule.	Accept in part
FS82.61	26.38	Chorus NZ and other Telcos	Infrastructure – New Rule for Overhead Lines	Support	Northpower supports the inclusion of a new rule enabling overhead lines for telecommunications.	Accept
FS82.62	149.32	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated	Infrastructure – Rules	Oppose	Northpower oppose amendments to the infrastructure rules that specifically address and manage potential adverse effects of infrastructure on natural character, indigenous biodiversity, and other identified values. At this stage, it remains uncertain which rules will be amended and in what manner.	Reject
Natural Hazards						
FS82.63	292.55	Transpower New Zealand Limited	Natural Hazards – NH-P10	Support	Northpower agree that the reference to “the most appropriate location to service the needs of the community” is not necessary as this is implicit in functional or operational need.	Accept
FS82.64	136.39	Federated Farmers of New Zealand (Inc) – Northland Province	Natural Hazards – NH-R1	Oppose	Northpower oppose the amendment to NH-R1 seeking that it applies to all structures (including infrastructure). Northpower don’t agree with removing the clarification that NH-R1 doesn’t apply to infrastructure because infrastructure often needs to be located within or across flood hazard areas to maintain essential services such as electricity, water, and telecommunications. Applying NH-R1 to all structures, including	Reject

Barker & Associates

+64 375 0900 | admin@barker.co.nz | barker.co.nz

Kerikeri | Whangārei | Warkworth | Auckland | Hamilton | Cambridge | Tauranga | Havelock North | Wellington | Christchurch | Wānaka & Queenstown

Northpower – Further Submission on Kaipara PDP



Sub point #	Submitter Name	Plan Section & Provision	Support/Oppose	Reasons	Relief Sought	
				infrastructure, would impose unnecessary restrictions and delays on critical works that are already subject to robust design standards and risk management under other provisions. Infrastructure is typically engineered to withstand flood hazards and is regulated through separate, more appropriate rules that balance safety, resilience, and environmental considerations. Removing the clarification could lead to duplication, uncertainty, and increased consenting costs without delivering additional environmental or hazard mitigation benefits.		
FS82.65	309.64	Clarus	Natural Hazards – NH-R2	Accept	Northpower are supportive of ‘underground infrastructure’ being provided for as a permitted activity in wetland, lake and river margins.	Accept
FS82.66	26.55	Chorus NZ and other Telcos	Natural Hazards – Rule NH-R11	Support	Northpower support the amendment which excludes underground infrastructure in roads from needing to comply with the earthworks area and volume limitations.	Accept
FS82.67	309.55	Clarus	Natural Hazards – Rule NH-R11	Support	Northpower support the exclusion of earthworks for the repair, maintenance or minor upgrade to existing underground network utility infrastructure from this rule.	Accept
Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity						
FS82.68	292.59	Transpower New Zealand Limited	Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity - General	Support	Northpower agree that this chapter should be amended to give effect to the National Policy Statement on Electricity Transmission.	Accept

Barker & Associates

+64 375 0900 | admin@barker.co.nz | barker.co.nz

Kerikeri | Whangārei | Warkworth | Auckland | Hamilton | Cambridge | Tauranga | Havelock North | Wellington | Christchurch | Wānaka & Queenstown

Northpower – Further Submission on Kaipara PDP



Sub point #	Submitter Name	Plan Section & Provision	Support/Oppose	Reasons	Relief Sought
FS82.69 304.68	Director General of Conservation	Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity – ECO-P2	Oppose	Northpower do not consider that where adverse effects are unavoidable, the effects management hierarchy needs to be applied to ensure that adverse effects are less than minor, particularly outside of the coastal environment. While Northpower did not originally submit on this policy, Northpower seek to retain this policy as notified.	Reject
FS82.70 304.69	Director General of Conservation	Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity – ECO-P3	Oppose	Northpower seek for this policy to continue recognising the operational and functional need of regionally significant infrastructure, and infrastructure to traverse or locate within these areas. Northpower therefore seek for this policy to be amended as per their original submission (refer to sub point 283.139).	Reject
FS82.71 304.71	Director General of Conservation	Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity – New Policy	Oppose	Northpower do not consider that activities not provided for in ECO-R1 (e.g. new infrastructure) need to obtain confirmation from an Ecologist that the indigenous vegetation proposed to be cleared does not meet the criteria in Appendix 5 of the RPS. This should only be required when non-compliance with the permitted thresholds is achieved in ECO-R2 (as per the notified provision). Otherwise, this requirement is impractical and will result in the unnecessary and costly provision of ecological assessments.	Reject
FS82.72 304.73	Director General of Conservation	Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity – ECO-R1	Oppose	Northpower oppose the deletion of the permitted activity pathway for vegetation clearance that is required for the operation, repair or maintenance	Reject

Barker & Associates

+64 375 0900 | admin@barker.co.nz | barker.co.nz

Kerikeri | Whangārei | Warkworth | Auckland | Hamilton | Cambridge | Tauranga | Havelock North | Wellington | Christchurch | Wānaka & Queenstown

Northpower – Further Submission on Kaipara PDP



Sub point #	Submitter Name	Plan Section & Provision	Support/Oppose	Reasons	Relief Sought
				of existing infrastructure. Northpower therefore seek for this policy to be amended as per their original submission (refer to sub point 283.140).	
FS82.73	304.74 Director General of Conservation	Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity – ECO-R2	Oppose	<p>Northpower oppose the requested amendments of restricting the maximum amount of vegetation clearance to 150m² in all zones, and a discretionary activity consent trigger where compliance with this is not achieved.</p> <p>Northpower also oppose the alternative option requested where an Ecological Report is required for any vegetation clearance under this permitted rule, otherwise only 100m² of vegetation clearance is allowed per site. There is a question around how such a control can be placed on a permitted activity rule. This is also considered arbitrary and restrictive, and will result in the unnecessary and costly provision of ecological assessments.</p> <p>While Northpower did not originally submit on this policy, Northpower seek to retain this rule as notified.</p>	Reject
FS82.74	332.21 Northland Regional Council	Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity – ECO-R2	Oppose	<p>Northpower do not consider that restricting indigenous vegetation clearance to 500m² over a 10-year period is necessary, and consider this to be overly restrictive, particularly for new infrastructure.</p> <p>While Northpower did not originally submit on this policy, Northpower seek to retain this rule as notified.</p>	Reject

Barker & Associates

+64 375 0900 | admin@barker.co.nz | barker.co.nz

Kerikeri | Whangārei | Warkworth | Auckland | Hamilton | Cambridge | Tauranga | Havelock North | Wellington | Christchurch | Wānaka & Queenstown

Northpower – Further Submission on Kaipara PDP



	Sub point #	Submitter Name	Plan Section & Provision	Support/Oppose	Reasons	Relief Sought
FS82.75	149.46	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated	Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity – ECO-R2	Oppose	Northpower oppose the deletion or amendment of this rule so that it only applies to areas outside of those identified in the Wildlands Report as meeting RPS significance criteria. This permitted rule as notified provides for indigenous vegetation clearance required for the establishment of new infrastructure, and the removal or amendment of this rule would result in an unnecessary resource consent trigger, resulting in additional unnecessary costs and delays.	Reject
FS82.76	149.45	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated	Ecosystems and Indigenous – New Rule	Oppose	Northpower do not consider that a new restricted discretionary rule is necessary because non-compliance with ECO-R2 already results in a restricted discretionary activity status with similar matters of discretion.	Reject
FS82.77	149.148	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated	Ecosystems and indigenous Biodiversity – New Rule	Oppose	Northpower does not support introducing a new discretionary ‘catch-all’ rule for any use or development not otherwise provided for. Such an approach would create unnecessary uncertainty and consenting risk for activities that may have minimal or manageable effects. Northpower considers that the existing framework—where non-compliance with the notified provisions defaults to a restricted discretionary activity status—already provides an appropriate and balanced mechanism to manage potential adverse effects on indigenous biodiversity. This approach ensures that decision-makers can focus on	Reject

Barker & Associates

+64 375 0900 | admin@barker.co.nz | barker.co.nz

Kerikeri | Whangārei | Warkworth | Auckland | Hamilton | Cambridge | Tauranga | Havelock North | Wellington | Christchurch | Wānaka & Queenstown

Northpower – Further Submission on Kaipara PDP



Sub point #	Submitter Name	Plan Section & Provision	Support/Oppose	Reasons	Relief Sought	
				relevant matters while maintaining consistency and certainty for infrastructure providers.		
FS82.78	136.57	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity – Rules Notes	Support	Northpower agree that all provisions for indigenous vegetation clearance should be located in the Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity Chapter.	Accept
Natural Character						
FS82.79	149.50	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated	Natural Character – NATC-R2	Oppose	Northpower oppose the requested reduction in building and structure area to 10m ² as this is considered unnecessarily arbitrary and restrictive for new infrastructure. This will lead to unnecessary and costly resource consents that further delay the provision of new infrastructure.	Reject
FS82.80	304.85	Director General of Conservation	Natural Character – NATC-R4	Oppose	Northpower oppose the requested discretionary activity status for non-compliance with the rule and seek for the restricted discretionary resource consent trigger for non-compliance to remain as per the notified provision.	Reject
FS82.81	149.153	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated	Natural Character – New rule	Support in part	Northpower support the introduction of a new permitted activity rule for the establishment, maintenance and upgrade of accessways and tracks within natural character areas. These provisions are essential to enable safe and efficient access for infrastructure installation and servicing. Northpower does not consider that an additional restricted discretionary rule is necessary, as the existing earthworks rules already provide a robust framework to manage potential adverse effects on	Accept in part

Barker & Associates

+64 375 0900 | admin@barker.co.nz | barker.co.nz

Kerikeri | Whangārei | Warkworth | Auckland | Hamilton | Cambridge | Tauranga | Havelock North | Wellington | Christchurch | Wānaka & Queenstown

Northpower – Further Submission on Kaipara PDP



Sub point #	Submitter Name	Plan Section & Provision	Support/Oppose	Reasons	Relief Sought	
				natural character. Introducing further layers of regulation would create unnecessary complexity and consenting costs without delivering additional environmental benefits. The permitted activity approach strikes an appropriate balance between enabling essential works and safeguarding natural character value.		
FS82.82	149.52	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated	Natural Character – NATC-S1	Oppose	Northpower oppose the lower permitted maximum height of 2m for buildings and structures. This is considered unnecessarily arbitrary and overly restrictive for new infrastructure and will lead to unnecessary and costly resource consents that further delay the provision of new infrastructure.	Reject
FS82.83	149.53	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated	Natural Character – NATC-S2	Oppose	Northpower oppose the reduction of the maximum permitted cut depth from 2m to 0.5m. This is overly restrictive for any earthworks required for new infrastructure. This will lead to unnecessary and costly resource consents that further delay the provision of new infrastructure.	Reject
FS82.84	304.86	Director General of Conservation	Natural Character – NATC-S2	Oppose	Northpower do not consider that the permitted earthworks thresholds should reduce any further. Northpower seek the retention of the notified permitted threshold for earthworks.	Reject
FS82.85	304.87	Director General of Conservation	Natural Character – NATC-S3	Oppose	Northpower do not consider that the permitted indigenous vegetation clearance thresholds should reduce any further. Northpower seek the retention	Reject

Barker & Associates

+64 375 0900 | admin@barker.co.nz | barker.co.nz

Kerikeri | Whangārei | Warkworth | Auckland | Hamilton | Cambridge | Tauranga | Havelock North | Wellington | Christchurch | Wānaka & Queenstown

Northpower – Further Submission on Kaipara PDP



Sub point #	Submitter Name	Plan Section & Provision	Support/Oppose	Reasons	Relief Sought
				of the notified permitted threshold for indigenous vegetation clearance.	
Natural Features and Landscapes					
FS82.86	149.56 Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated	Natural Features and Landscapes – NFL-P2	Oppose	Northpower do not consider that there should be a blanket ‘avoid’ for any additional adverse effects arising from lawfully established land use and development. It is considered that this would be overly restrictive, and that any additional adverse effects could be appropriately managed.	Reject
FS82.87	26.66 Chorus	Natural Features and Landscapes – NFL-P4	Support	Northpower support the additional clause sought as this will give effect to the direction within the Northland RPS.	Accept
FS82.88	304.92 Director General of Conservation	Natural Features and Landscapes – NFL-R3	Oppose	Northpower do not consider that a more restrictive (discretionary) activity status should apply for non-compliance with the indigenous vegetation clearance thresholds. Northpower consider that the notified restricted discretionary consent trigger and subsequent matters of discretion for non-compliance with the indigenous vegetation thresholds is sufficient in addressing the effects of this exceedance within sites containing any outstanding natural landscapes or outstanding natural features outside of the coastal environment.	Reject
FS82.89 to FS82.91	292.68, 292.83 & 292.84 Transpower New Zealand Limited	Natural Features and Landscapes – Rule Framework	Support	Northpower agree that clarification needs to be provided on the rule framework for regionally significant infrastructure in this chapter,	Accept

Barker & Associates

+64 375 0900 | admin@barker.co.nz | barker.co.nz

Kerikeri | Whangārei | Warkworth | Auckland | Hamilton | Cambridge | Tauranga | Havelock North | Wellington | Christchurch | Wānaka & Queenstown

Northpower – Further Submission on Kaipara PDP



Sub point #	Submitter Name	Plan Section & Provision	Support/Oppose	Reasons	Relief Sought	
				particularly given the onerous non-complying activity status when compliance is not achieved.		
FS82.92	149.60	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated	Natural Features and Landscapes – Rule Framework	Oppose	<p>Northpower disagree that compliance with NFL-S4 and NFL-S5 is required for all permitted activities, particularly earthworks and indigenous vegetation clearance required for the operation, repair, maintenance and upgrading of lawfully established infrastructure. This would result in additional unnecessary costs and delays.</p> <p>Northpower seek to retain the notified provisions which exempt earthworks and indigenous vegetation clearance associated with the operation, repair, maintenance and upgrading of lawfully established infrastructure from these standards.</p>	Reject
FS82.93	149.62	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated	Natural Features and Landscapes – NFL-S4	Oppose	<p>While Northpower did not originally submit on this standard, Northpower consider a 500m³ lifetime of plan limit on earthworks per site and a depth of 0.5m is overly restrictive and arbitrary for new infrastructure. This is likely to result in an unnecessary resource consent trigger, resulting in additional unnecessary costs and delays.</p>	Reject
FS82.94	149.63	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated	Natural Features and Landscapes – NFL-S5	Oppose	<p>While Northpower did not originally submit on this standard, Northpower consider a 500m² lifetime of plan limit for indigenous vegetation clearance per site, and 50m² per site is overly restrictive and arbitrary for new infrastructure. This is likely to result in an unnecessary resource consent trigger,</p>	Reject

Barker & Associates

+64 375 0900 | admin@barker.co.nz | barker.co.nz

Kerikeri | Whangārei | Warkworth | Auckland | Hamilton | Cambridge | Tauranga | Havelock North | Wellington | Christchurch | Wānaka & Queenstown

Northpower – Further Submission on Kaipara PDP



Sub point #	Submitter Name	Plan Section & Provision	Support/Oppose	Reasons	Relief Sought	
				resulting in additional unnecessary costs and delays.		
FS82.95	304.94	Director General of Conservation	Natural Features and Landscapes – NFL-S5	Oppose	Northpower do not consider the indigenous vegetation clearance permitted thresholds need reducing from what has been notified. The notified limit strikes the appropriate balance and further reductions will only result in the requirement for further costly resource consents and delays.	Reject
FS82.96	149.64	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated	Natural Features and Landscapes – NFL-S6	Oppose	Northpower opposes the reduction in the gross floor area percentage limit for minor upgrading of lawfully established structures. This is overly restrictive and arbitrary for new infrastructure.	Reject
Subdivision						
FS82.97	222.47	Kaipara District Council	Subdivision – SUB-R3	Oppose	Northpower opposes an additional matter of control which provides plan users with the choice of connecting to alternative electricity sources, particularly when it is unclear what these electricity sources are. Northpower seek to ensure that electricity and telecommunications infrastructure is adequately provided for at the time of subdivision, and that resource consent can be utilised to determine whether an alternative electricity supply is available.	Reject
FS82.98	309.76	Clarus	Subdivision – SUB-R3	Support	Northpower support an additional matter of control for subdivision to create new allotments	Accept

Barker & Associates

+64 375 0900 | admin@barker.co.nz | barker.co.nz

Kerikeri | Whangārei | Warkworth | Auckland | Hamilton | Cambridge | Tauranga | Havelock North | Wellington | Christchurch | Wānaka & Queenstown

Northpower – Further Submission on Kaipara PDP



Sub point #	Submitter Name	Plan Section & Provision	Support/Oppose	Reasons	Relief Sought
				which is to address impacts upon existing infrastructure that is located within the parent lot.	
FS82.99	136.106 Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Subdivision – Standard SUB-S5	Oppose	Northpower’s submission (sub point 283.161) sought amendments to ensure more directive language, that connection to a reticulated electricity supply specifies both above ground or underground supply to provide flexibility, and that all new allotments should be required to connect to a wireless telecommunications supply. Northpower does not agree that a less restrictive activity status should apply for non-compliance with this standard.	Reject
Coastal Environment					
FS82.100	292.71 Transpower New Zealand Limited	Coastal Environment – CE-P5	Support	Northpower agree with the amendments to enable the ‘development’ of regionally significant infrastructure in the coastal environment where there is an operational or functional need and where adverse effects are appropriately managed.	Support
FS82.101	140.66 Horticulture New Zealand	Coastal Environment – CE-P6	Oppose	Northpower disagree that this policy should be deleted as it provides important guidance to Council when processing resource consent applications, including those for infrastructure.	Reject
FS82.102 FS82.103	292.72, 292.86 Transpower New Zealand Limited	Coastal Environment – Rule Framework	Support	Northpower agree that clarification needs to be provided on the rule framework for regionally significant infrastructure in this chapter, particularly given the onerous non-complying activity status when compliance is not achieved.	Support

Barker & Associates

+64 375 0900 | admin@barker.co.nz | barker.co.nz

Kerikeri | Whangārei | Warkworth | Auckland | Hamilton | Cambridge | Tauranga | Havelock North | Wellington | Christchurch | Wānaka & Queenstown

Northpower – Further Submission on Kaipara PDP

	Sub point #	Submitter Name	Plan Section & Provision	Support/Oppose	Reasons	Relief Sought
FS82.104	149.82	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand	Coastal Environment – CE-R2	Oppose	Northpower oppose ‘regionally significant infrastructure’ being subject to compliance with unnecessarily restrictive standards such as a 10m ² gross floor area and 2m maximum structure height.	Reject
FS82.105	304.111	Director General of Conservation	Coastal Environment – CE-R3	Oppose	Northpower oppose the requested amendment to require an ecological assessment as part of this permitted activity rule. This will result in the unnecessary and costly provision of ecological assessments with no particular benefit.	Reject
FS82.106	149.83	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand	Coastal Environment – CE-R3	Oppose	Northpower oppose ‘regionally significant infrastructure’ being subject to compliance with unnecessarily restrictive standards such as a 500m ² indigenous vegetation clearance area.	Reject
FS82.107	149.84	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand	Coastal Environment – CE-R4	Oppose	Northpower oppose ‘regionally significant infrastructure’ being subject to compliance with unnecessarily restrictive standards such as a 500m ³ earthworks threshold.	Reject
Earthworks						
FS82.108	136.128	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Earthworks Overview	Support	Northpower also consider that all earthworks provisions located throughout the PDP should be relocated into the Earthworks chapter.	Accept

Northpower – Further Submission on Kaipara PDP



FS82.109

Sub point #	Submitter Name	Plan Section & Provision	Support/Oppose	Reasons	Relief Sought
136.132	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Earthworks – Policy EW-P2	Support	Northpower supports the amendment to clause 6 of this policy which reflects the effects hierarchy of “avoid, remedy or mitigate” to acknowledge situations where significant adverse effects on cultural or ecological values are possible but unavoidable due to functional or operational need.	Accept
135.135	Northland Federated Farmers of New Zealand	Earthworks – Policy EW-P5	Support in part	Northpower’s original submission (see submission point 283.171) sought the retention of this policy as notified. However, Northpower agree that it would be appropriate to include ‘earthworks do not compromise the safe, effective and efficient operation of infrastructure’ as an additional clause to Policy EW-P2.	Accept in part
149.92	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated	Earthworks – EW-R1 and EW-R2	Oppose	<p>As per Northpower’s original submission (see submission point 283.172), Northpower supports that earthworks and land disturbance are enabled within all zones. Northpower therefore do not consider that these earthworks rules shouldn’t also apply to overlays.</p> <p>Northpower also wish to highlight that earthworks are already appropriately restricted within these sensitive areas through the notified provisions in the Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity, Natural Character and Natural Features and Landscapes chapters of the PDP which require consideration alongside the general earthworks chapter for any works within these sensitive areas.</p>	Reject

Barker & Associates

+64 375 0900 | admin@barker.co.nz | barker.co.nz

Kerikeri | Whangārei | Warkworth | Auckland | Hamilton | Cambridge | Tauranga | Havelock North | Wellington | Christchurch | Wānaka & Queenstown

Northpower – Further Submission on Kaipara PDP

	Sub point #	Submitter Name	Plan Section & Provision	Support/Oppose	Reasons	Relief Sought
FS82.112	149.93	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated	Earthworks – EW-R2	Oppose in part	Northpower seek the retention of this rule as notified because it is not considered that small-scale alteration or disturbance of land that doesn't permanently alter the profile, contour or height of the land should be subject to the same requirements as earthworks which do.	Reject in part
FS82.113	26.77	Chorus NZ and other Telcos	Earthworks – Standard EW-S2	Support	While Northpower did not originally submit on this standard, Northpower also support that utility pole foundations are exempt from any earthwork's depth controls.	Accept
Zones						
FS82.114	309.87	Clarus	General Rural Zone - Overview	Support	Northpower support the amendment to the last sentence of the first paragraph of the Overview to recognise and provide for infrastructure within the General Rural Zone.	Accept
FS82.115	309.89	Clarus	General Rural Zone – GRUZ-O1	Support	Northpower support the additional clause to enable non-primary production activities within the General Rural Zone where they rely on a rural location.	Accept
FS82.116	309.90	Clarus	General Rural Zone – GRUZ-P5	Support	Northpower support the amendments to this policy which clarifies that only activities which are not dependent on the land resource (and not all non rural activities) should be avoided.	Accept
FS82.117	309.94	Clarus	General Rural Zone – New Rule	Support	Northpower support a new rule for facilities for the production, storage or use of renewable energy or renewable energy feedstocks.	Accept

Northpower – Further Submission on Kaipara PDP



FS82.118

FS82.119

Sub point #	Submitter Name	Plan Section & Provision	Support/Oppose	Reasons	Relief Sought
149.116	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated	General Rural Zone – New Rule	Oppose	Northpower do not consider there is a need to include indigenous vegetation clearance rules within the General Rural Zone given that the Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity Chapter already covers these matters.	Reject
149.117	Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of New Zealand Incorporated	General Rural Zone – New Rule	Oppose	Northpower do not consider there is a need to include indigenous vegetation clearance rules within the General Rural Zone given that the Ecosystems and Indigenous Biodiversity Chapter already covers these matters.	Reject

Barker & Associates

+64 375 0900 | admin@barker.co.nz | barker.co.nz

Kerikeri | Whangārei | Warkworth | Auckland | Hamilton | Cambridge | Tauranga | Havelock North | Wellington | Christchurch | Wānaka & Queenstown